Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/587,710	BREHM, LUDWIG		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	ALEX EFTA	1791		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 08 July 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the second s	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: Claim 2 depends on cancelled claim 1. (See 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejecte 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	E below); lucing or simplifying the cted claims. In the cted claims are the cted claims. In the cted claims are the cted claims are the cted claims.	ne issues for PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	_	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	planation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a	
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:	
12. \square Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation sheet.</u> .				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Non-Statutory Obviousness-Type Double Patenting rejection still stands without a proper Terminal Disclaimer.